# **Stege Elementary**

# California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- · For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

**Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)** 

District Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)				
District Name	West Contra Costa Unified			
Phone Number	(510) 231-1101			
Superintendent	Matthew Duffy			
E-mail Address	matthew.duffy@wccusd.net			
Web Site	www.wccusd.net			

School Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)				
School Name	Stege Elementary			
Street	4949 Cypress Avenue			
City, State, Zip	Richmond, Ca, 94804-4499			
Phone Number	510-231-1425			
Principal	Kim Moses, Principal			
E-mail Address	kmoses@wccusd.net			
Web Site PageID=12	https://www.wccusd.net/site/Default.aspx?			
County-District-School (CDS) Code	07617966004972			

Last updated: 11/21/2017


### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

At Stege School, our program focuses upon increasing the academic achievement of all students and providing a balanced program that optimizes student engagement. Our program has been enhanced to include a well-rounded experience, which incorporates effective classroom instruction, exposure to the arts, increased digital learning experiences, and leveled instruction to ensure consistent enrichment and academic growth. Stege is a Title I school that focuses on community support as well as academic excellence.

The mission of Stege Elementary is to serve all students effectively to meet their current needs and ensure student growth for future college and career readiness. We encourage parent participation in our school and count on parents as our partners in the education of our children. Stege offers after school program services for students, which are aligned to the school day focus, and provides academic support as well as arts enrichment. At Stege School, students will become strategic learners and critical thinkers for academic success, present and future.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	66
Grade 1	48
Grade 2	46
Grade 3	30
Grade 4	37
Grade 5	31
Grade 6	24
Total Enrollment	282



Last updated: 1/25/2018

## Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	54.6 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4 %
Asian	7.1 %
Filipino	1.4 %
Hispanic or Latino	27.0 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1 %
White	3.5 %
Two or More Races	0.7 %
Other	4.2 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	90.8 %
English Learners	27.0 %
Students with Disabilities	9.2 %
Foster Youth	0.4 %

# A. Conditions of Learning


# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

## **Teacher Credentials**


Teachers	School			District
	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18	2017- 18
With Full Credential	16	13	12	1192
Without Full Credential	2	3	7	155
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	14



Last updated: 1/25/2018

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 1/18/2018

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which the data were collected: October 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill Treasures and Triumphs, c2010 - adopted 2012	No	0.0 %
Mathematics	McGraw Hill My Math, grades TK-5, c2013 - adopted 2016 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Big Ideas Math, grade 6, c2015 - adopted 2017	Yes	0.0 %
Science	Scott Foresman Science K-6, c2008 - adopted 2008	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	McGraw Hill California Vistas grades K-6, c2007 - adopted 2007	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language	N/A		0.0 %
Health	N/A		0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Last updated: 1/17/2018

#### **School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements**

WCCUSD takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the school uses the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) developed by the State of California OPSC. Maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The school personnel also works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Last updated: 1/23/2018

## School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2017

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	Actions were/will be taken to ensure:
		There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak.
		Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order.
		The HVAC system is operable.
		There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back- up in the facilities or on the school grounds.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Poor	Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	School grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Actions were/will be taken to ensure:
		There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation.
Electrical: Electrical	Fair	Actions were/will be taken to ensure:
		There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure.
		There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Fair	Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	Actions were/will be taken to ensure:
		The fire sprinklers appear to be in

		<ul> <li>working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads).</li> <li>Emergency alarms appear to be functional.</li> <li>Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed.</li> <li>Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly).</li> </ul>
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	Actions were/will be taken to ensure:
		Severe cracks are not evident. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Actions were/will be taken to ensure:
		There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk.

# **Overall Facility Rate**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2017

Overall Rating

Fair

2016-17 SARC - Stege Elementary

# **B.** Pupil Outcomes

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students**

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

	Per	centage of Stu	dents Meeting	or Exceeding t	he State Stand	lards
	Sch	ıool	Dis	trict	St	ate
Subject	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	9%	6%	35%	35%	48%	48%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	3%	1%	25%	25%	36%	37%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	134	125	93.28%	
Male	56	51	91.07%	
Female	78	74	94.87%	
Black or African American	86	82	95.35%	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian			100.00%	
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	28	24	85.71%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	116	111	95.69%	
English Learners	40	39	97.50%	
Students with Disabilities	21	21	100.00%	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**

## Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	134	126	94.03%	
Male	56	52	92.86%	
Female	78	74	94.87%	
Black or African American	86	82	95.35%	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian			100.00%	
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	28	25	89.29%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	116	110	94.83%	
English Learners	40	37	92.50%	
Students with Disabilities	21	21	100.00%	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

#### Grades Five, Eight and Ten

		Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
	Sch	School		trict	State				
Subject	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	5.0%	10.0%	46.0%	40.0%	56%	54%			

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

	Perc	entage of Students Meeting Fitness Standa	rds	
Grade Level	Four of Six Fitness Standards	Five of Six Fitness Standards	Six of Six Fitness Standards	
5	17.2%	3.4%		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)**

Stege School values its partnerships with our parents and the community. We encourage parents to participate in our monthly parent coffee club meetings and promote active involvement in the School Site Council. The School Site Council meets each month to discuss and manage the school plan and make budgetary decisions to support our programs.

Stege also has a community room on site where our Community Coordinator can be found each day. The Community Coordinator organizes volunteer projects and supports families to strengthen the bond between home and school. Stege encourages parent partnership in learning by offering Parent Nights four times per year. The parent nights promote strategies for promoting literacy, mathematics, science, and the arts at home. We encourage parent volunteers to support our school and its programs.

Contact Information for Parental Involvement: Staff Community Engagement Office - 510-307-4526

# **State Priority: Pupil Engagement**


Last updated: 1/22/2018

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

#### **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)**

	School			School District			State		
Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	10.7%	9.7%
Graduation Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	77.7%	84.7%	83.1%	81.0%	82.3%	83.8%



2016-17 SARC - Stege Elementary


# **State Priority: School Climate**


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	8.5%	3.1%	9.7%	6.2%	6.3%	5.9%	3.8%	3.7%	3.7%
Expulsions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%





#### Last updated: 1/25/2018

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Last revised 2/2/2016 by Elizabeth Montes-Nation, Coordinator-Disaster Preparedness and Safety

Safety Plan Overview: The emergency organizational structure identified in the school site's plan is consistent with the federally mandated National Incident Management System (SIMS), and the State of California's Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS), and it effectively structures emergency response at all levels in compliance with the Incident Command System.

Preparedness efforts include the assignment of Disaster Service Workers and their responsibilities, routine earthquake and fire drills, review of Emergency Response roles/actions, evacuation procedures, as well as bomb threat procedures, reunification procedures, provision of emergency supplies, exercise drills such as lockdowns and shelter-in-place, and WCCUSD's Guidelines for Threat Assessment and Management Process.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	1998-1999	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	20
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	60.6%

#### Last updated: 1/25/2018

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	201	L <b>4-15</b>			20:	15-16			20	16-17		
		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Numb	er of Cla	sses *
Grade Level	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
к	24.0	0	3	0	23.0	0	3	0	22.0	1	2	0
1	22.0	0	2	0	24.0	0	2	0	24.0	0	2	0
2	25.0	0	2	0	19.0	2	0	0	23.0	0	2	0
3	23.0	0	2	0	19.0	2	0	0	15.0	2	0	0
4	20.0	2	0	0	20.0	2	0	0	23.0	0	1	0
5	20.0	2	0	0	18.0	2	0	0	23.0	0	2	0
6	25.0	0	2	0	15.0	2	0	0	24.0	0	1	0
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Last updated: 1/25/2018

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Tit le	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.2	N/A
Psychologist	0.4	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.6	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A
Other	0.0	N/A

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/18/2018

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$17231.3	\$5172.1	\$12059.2	\$51795.5
District	N/A	N/A	\$10107.3	\$61169.5
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	17.6%	-16.6%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574.0	\$79228.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	58.9%	-41.9%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/18/2018


- 21ST CENTURY AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS
- LEARNING CENTERS RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION
- PARENT ENGAGEMENT
- SCHOOL CLIMATE-WHOLE CHILD
- SCHOOL SUPPORT-DATA & ACCOUNTABILTY
- TITLE I PARENT INVOLVEMENT
- TITLE I PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
- VISUAL & PERFORMING ARTS WHOLE CHILD
- WHOLE SCHOOL ENRICHMENT


Last updated: 1/18/2018

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,021	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,219	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,748	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$95,040	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$100,743	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$112,510	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$231,795	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	30.0%	35.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





#### Last updated: 1/25/2018

#### **Professional Development**

At Stege School we recognize that continued student success requires continued growth among staff members. Our staff development focus is on effective planning and quality instruction in all curricular areas. Teachers meet to collaborate on student data, instructional practices, and effective planning in the areas of RLA, ELD, and Math. In addition, a full staff Professional development is led by the Principal and Lead teachers to focus on school wide needs in literacy and technology. Teachers receive days of professional development and coaching from Apple Professional Learning Specialists in the area of integrating technology within the curriculum. We have also additional training in Restorative Justice Framework, Mindful Life Practices, Classroom Management Strategies, and STEM. We have an RLA Coach on site 2 days per week, a Mathematics Coach for teachers in grades five and six, and a shared technology coach on call. In addition to PD and Coaching on site, our district curriculum and instruction department frequently offers a variety of trainings, during and after school. The administration team informs and encourages all teaching staff members to participate in professional development opportunities.